72-558

ROBERT B. DUNN

MO.

NO.\_\_\_\_\_MOTION

A MOTION authorizing application to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for grant assistance on Urban Beautification and Improvement Projects provided under 42 U.S.C. 1500 C-2, Housing Act of 1961, Section 706 as amended.

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development is authorized to make grants under 42 U.S.C. 1500 C-2, Housing Act of 1961, Section.706, as amended, to local public governments for urban beautification projects, and

WHEREAS, King County desires to beautify and improve open space and other public urban land to facilitate their increased use and enjoyment, and

WHEREAS, a local beautification program that is compatible with the local comprehensive plan is one requirement of the grant program, and

WHEREAS, the King County Department of Planning, through action taken on August 23 1972, has reviewed and approved the beautification program and recommends the adoption of said program by the County Council,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

1. That the County Beautification Program, attached hereto, | is adopted as the guideline for King County Urban Beautification and Improvement Program projects.

2. That the County Executive is hereby authorized to execute and file an application with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for a grant of \$227,125 (fifty percent of the total project cost) and that King County will provide the balance of the funds.

3. That the County Executive is hereby authorized and directed to execute such contracts as are required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

23

1

2

3

Á

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26.

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

4. That the Federal/State Grant Coordinator is hereby authorized to provide additional information and to furnish such documents as may be required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and to act as the authorized correspondent for King County.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.10

11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

ATTEST:

5. That the proposed urban beautification projects are in accordance with the beautification program of King County, of importance to the development of King County as reflected in the comprehensive planning, and that, should said grant application be approved, King County will undertake, carry out and complete said urban beautification activities designated in said application and approved by the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

6. That other governmental units in King County may participate in said federal program under King County's application and program, if so desired, by such governmental units, and that the County Executive is further authorized to enter into a contract or agreement to this end.

7. That the United States of America and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development be, and they hereby are, assured of full compliance by King County with the regulations of the said Federal department effectuating Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

8. That the United States of America and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development be, and they hereby are, assured of full compliance by King County with the Federal labor standards imposed under Title VII of the Housing Act of 1961, as amended.

PASSED this 11th day of September, 1972.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

TRACY J. OWEN

Chairman

Administrator-Clerk of the Council

LEE KRAFT

Page Two

# Attachment

King County Urban Beautification and Improvement Program: Cost Estimates of Individual Projects

	Total	\$ 554,250
	Federal Way Beautification Project	40,000 -
9	White Center Beautification Project	40,000 -
	(Shoreline Beautification Project	40,000 -
	Vashon Neighborhood Park #1 (Ober)	49,000 ~
	Kent Neighborhood Park #3 Kent Neighborhood Park #5	49,000 - 36,250
	Renton Neighborhood Park #5	43,950
	Shoreline Neighborhood Park #12 Shoreline Neighborhood Park #13 Shoreline Neighborhood Park #15	43,650 - 43,950 - 27,500 -
	Highline Neighborhood Park #2 Highline Neighborhood Park #10 Highline Neighborhood Park 20	\$64,500 27,500 - 48,950 -

TO BE BUDGETED IN 1973

# いと、こ、

#### KING COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency:	Public Works
Project title:	Federal Way Beautification Project
Project location:	Federal Way
Description:	Design, planting of trees, shrubs and ground- cover, topsoil, plant establishment, etc.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes. Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? Yes. If not, please explain.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$40,000 Source of funds: Forward Thrust Date of project initiation: January, 1973 Expected date of project completion: December 1973 Expected project life: 25 - 50 years

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives:

This project is largely a product of the ideas of Federal Way citizens to beautify their community. The project is also consistent with the County's beautification program as a whole.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area?

# Business-residential area.

Is the project located in a low income area? Yes.

#### KING COUNTY

PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency:	Public Works
Project title:	Shoreline Beautification Project
Project location:	Shoreline
Description:	Design, planting of trees, shrubs and groundcover, topsoil, plant establishment, etc.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes. Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? Yes.If not, please explain.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$40,000

Source of funds: Forward Thrust

Date of project initiation: January 1973

Expected date of project completion: December 1973

Expected project life: 25 - 50 years

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives:

This project is largely a product of the ideas of Shoreline citizens to beautify their community. The project is also consistent with the County's beautification program as a whole.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area?

# Business-residential area.

Is the project located in a low income area? No.

#### KING COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency:	Public Works
Project title:	White Center Beautification Project
Project location:	White Center
Description:	Design, planting of trees, shrubs and groundcover, topsoil, plant establishment, etc.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Flanning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes. Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? Yes. If not, please explain.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$40,000 Source of funds: Forward Thrust

Date of project initiation: January, 1973

Expected date of project completion: December, 1973

Expected project life: 25 - 50 years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives:

This project is largely a product of the ideas of White Center citizens to beautify their community. The project is also consistent with the County's beautification program as a whole.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area?

Business-residential area.

Is the project located in a low income area? Yes.

Project No.

### KING COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks Project title: Highline Neighborhood Park #2 (White Center) Project location: S.W. 102nd St. and 8th Ave. S.W: Description: Comfort Station, Walking Paths, Passive Area & Childrens Play Area.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan?- Yes

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? If not, please explain. It is proposed for 1973 Budget.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$64,500.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bond Issues

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73


Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: Project will provide recreational opportunities and aesthetic qualities for the neighborhood.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes

Is the project located in a low income area? Yes



Project No

#### KING COUNTY

# PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks Project title: Highline Neighborhood Park #10 (Library Park) Project location: SW 146th St. and 4th Ave. SW Description: Comfort Station, Paths, Passive Area

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? • Yes

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? If not, please explain. It is proposed for 73 budget.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$27,500.00

Source of funds: Forward Thrust Bond Issue of 1968

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73

Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73

Expected project life: 40 Years

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: Project will provide recreational opportunities for the immediate community.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes

Is the project located in a low income area? No

# 1972 UREAN BEAUTIFICATION PROGRAM

#### KING COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks

Project title: Highline Neighborhood Park #20 (McMicken Heights Park)

Project location: S. 166th St. & 40th Ave. S.

Description: Provide comfort station, walking paths, a passive area and childrens play area.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? If not, please explain. It is included in preliminary proposal.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$48,950.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bonds

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73


Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: Project will provide recreation and aesthetic qualities for the neighborhood.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes.

Is the project located in a low income area? Yes.



ана — — — Доло 15. - СФ — — — 19.

, P.C.

a for the second

Project No.

KING COUNTY

#### PROJÉCT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks

Project title: Kent Neighborhood Park #3 (Turnkey Park)

Project location: S.E. 232nd and 100th Ave. S.E.

Description: Comfort Station, Childrens Play Area, Walking Paths and Passive Area.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes.

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? Yes. Carry över If not, please explain. from 1972).

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$ 49,000.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bonds

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73.

Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73.

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: Project will provide recreational opportunities and aesthetic qualities for the neighborhood.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes.

Is the project located in a low income area? Yes.

Additional information: Located adjacent to Department of Housing and Urban Development. Low income housing project (Turnkey).

# 1972 UREAN BEFUTLFICKTION PROGRAM

# KILG COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks

Project title: Kent Neighborhood Park #5 (Park Orchard Park)

Project location: S.E. 228th St. & 112th Ave. S.E.

Description: Comfort Staion, Passive Area, Walking Paths and Childrens Play Area.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes.

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? If not, please explain. Included in 1973 Request.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$41,250.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bonds.

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73.


Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73.

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: **Project will** provide recreational opportunities and aesthetic qualities to the neighborhood.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Wes.

Is the project located in a low income area? No.



8. 译 1963年

# 1972 URBAN BEAUTIFICATION PROGRAM

#### KING COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks

Project title: Renton Neighborhood Park #5 (Cascade Park)

Project location: S.E. 160th & 121st Ave. S.E.

Description: Comfort Station, Passive Area & Walking Paths and Childrens Play Area.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes.

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? If not, please explain. It is included in 1973 fequest.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$48,950.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bonds.

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73


Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: Project will provide recreational opportunities and aesthetic qualities for the neighborhood.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes.

Is the project located in a low income area? Yes.



.\*

# 1972 URBAN BEMUTIFICATION PROSEAM

#### KING COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks

Project title: Shoreline Neighborhood Park #12 (Ridgecrest Park)

Project location: 10th Ave. N.E. and N. 165th St.

Description: Comfort Staion, Passive Area, Childrens Play Area and Walking Paths.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes.

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? It is proposed If not, please explain. for "73" Budget.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$43,650.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bonds

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73

Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: Project will provide recreational opportunities and aesthetic qualities for the neighborhood.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes.

Is the project located in a low income area? No.

# .

Project No.

a and another second and an and an

# 1972 URBAN BEAUTIFICATION PROGRAM

### KING COUNTY

PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks

Project title: Shoreline Neighborhood Park #13 (Ronald Bog Park)

Project location: Meridian Ave. & N. 175th St.

Description: Comfort Staion, Passive Area, Walking Paths and Childrens Play Area.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan?• Yes

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? Yes. (carry-If not, please explain. over from 1972)

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$43,950.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bonds

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73

Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: Project will provide recreational opportunities and aesthetic qualities for the neighborhood.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes.

Is the project located in a low income area? No.

# 1972 URBAN BEAUTIFICATION PROGRAM

# KING COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks Project title: Shoreline Neighborhood Park #15 (Paramount Park) Project location: 10th Ave. N.E. and N.E. 152nd St. Description: Comfort Station, Walking Paths.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan?. Yes.

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? If not, please explain. Yes. Carry over from 1972.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$27,500.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bonds

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73.


Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73.

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: Project will provide recreational opportunities and aesthetic qualities for the neighborhood.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes.

Is the project located in a low income area? No.



# 1972 URBAN BEAUTIFICATION PROGRAM

### KING COUNTY

#### PROJECT DATA

Department/Agency: King County Department of Parks Project title: Vashon Neighborhood Park #1 (Ober Park) Project location: S.W. 171st and 99th S.W., Vashon Island

Description: Farking Area Paving and Lighting, - Court Paving, - Trees, Shrubs and Ground Cover, - Playground Equipment & Sprinkler Irrigation.

Has the project been reviewed by the Department of Planning to insure that it corresponds with the County's Comprehensive Plan? Yes.

Has the project been included in the 1973 Capital Improvement Program? If not, please explain.

Estimated 1973 expenditure: \$49,000.00

Source of funds: 1968 Forward Thrust Bonds.

Date of project initiation: 2-1-73.

Expected date of project completion: 12-1-73.

Expected project life: 40 Years.

Explain how the project achieves the beautification program objectives: This project establishes the ultimate configuration of the park, achieves an attractive landscaped status. Irrigated for continued care and stabilized for future construction.

Is the project located in a residentially oriented area? Yes.

Is the project located in a low income area? No.

